Test of Darken's Mobility Assumption in Au-Ni & Cu-Ni-Zn Systems

Nagraj Kulkarni: Oak Ridge National Labs. Robert T. DeHoff: University of Florida

Multicomponent Multiphase Diffusion Symposium in Honor of John E. Morral: Session II

2005 TMS Annual Meeting San Francisco, Feb. 14, 2005

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY





Overview

- 1. Introduction: Diffusion Formalisms & Simplifications
- 2. Intrinsic Diffusion Simulation
 - Model System
- 3. Tests of Darken Relations
 - A: Binary : Au-Ni
 - B: Ternary: Cu-Ni-Zn
- 4. Conclusions
- 5. Comments on development of multicomponent diffusion databases



areas of both physical and chemical metallurgy—the common threads being most frequently thermodynamics and diffusion threads being most frequently thermodynamics and diffusion of Brook

predecessor of the present laboratory was in Kearny, New Jersey. He has been engaged in research in many

The Edward DeMille Campbell Memorial Lecture for 1961

For some years he was also Adjunct Professor at the Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn where he taught graduate courses on the physical chemistry of metals, metallurgical ther modynamics and phase rule. He is author with Dr. R. W. Gurry of the book "Physical Chemistry of Metals".

After his dectoral research on ionization constants in aqueous solutions, his attention focused on high-temperature chemistry related to iron and steel-making, initially on the equilibria of the iron-axygen system and equilibria pertaining to the open-hearth process. This was followed by investigations on equilibria and reaction rates in numerous binary and ternary ferrous systems. His work on the theory of the basic phenomenology of difusion and a theorem he developed on the thermodynamics of ternary and multicomponent systems have served as a basis for experimental work in these areas. He helped lay the foundation of our understanding of chemical rate phenomena in the open-hearth process. His work on the interaction of atoms with imperfections, on pearlite growth and on filamentary rowth, are also wilk known. He was the Howe Lecturer (ALME) in 1961.

1. Introduction

- Why is diffusion modeling needed?
 - Ability to use thermodynamic, diffusion, property databases to predict microstructural evolutionary processes
 - Experimental studies: expensive, time-consuming, limited variability
 - Assess existing diffusion formalisms (many indeed!)
 - Examine inherent assumptions & simplifications
 - Examine newer formalisms and understand mechanisms
- How is diffusion modeling done?
 - Atomistic approaches
 - Monte Carlo, molecular dynamics, phase field, etc.
 - Finite difference methods
 - Solve Fick's second law for appropriate boundary conditions and geometry
 - Use intrinsic flux expression for different time intervals (here)

Diffusion Formalisms: A. Interdiffusion

 $\sum_{k=1}^{n} J_{k}^{o} = 0$

Isothermal, isobaric system

$$J_k^o = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} L_{ki}^{o,n} \operatorname{grad} \mu_i \qquad (k = 1, 2, ..., n-1)$$

Multicomponent version of Fick's law

$$J_k^o = -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} D_{kj}^{o,n} \operatorname{grad} c_j \qquad (k = 1, 2, ..., n-1)$$

> There are $(n - 1)(n - 1) = (n - 1)^2$ coefficients

B. Intrinsic Diffusion Formalism

Fluxes defined in the lattice frame

$$J_{k} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} L_{ki}^{n} \operatorname{grad} \mu_{i} \qquad (k = 1, 2, ..., n) \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{n} J_{k} =$$

- J_

Multicomponent version of Darken's equation

$$J_k = -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} D_{kj}^n \operatorname{grad} c_j$$

> There are *n* (*n* - 1) coefficients

Simplified Version of Formalism

Intrinsic flux expression that ignores cross-terms

$$J_{k} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{ki} \frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial x} = -L_{kk} \frac{\partial \mu_{k}}{\partial x} = -c_{k} M_{k} \frac{\partial \mu_{k}}{\partial x} \qquad (L_{ki} = 0, k \neq i) \quad (k = 1, 2, ..., n)$$

> M_k is known as the "mobility" [Darken 1948]

- One unique mobility for each component in a multicomponent system
- Multicomponent Darken theory connects mobilities with tracer diffusion coefficients
 - > Diffusion coefficients in other frames can be obtained from mobilities (or L_{kk} 's) by suitable transformations

$$L_{kk} = c_k M_k = \frac{c_k D_k^*}{R T}$$

Simplified Formalism Questions (used by DICTRA)

- Not proven that L_{kk}'s or M_k's are unique functions of composition
 - Need intrinsic measurements in diffusion couples with crossing composition paths
- Darken relations in binary systems not systematically tested with experimental data
 - Tests in ternary systems where tracer diffusion information is available are rare

$$D_k = D_k^* \left(1 + \left(\frac{\partial \ln \gamma_k}{\partial \ln X_k} \right) \right) \quad (k = 1, 2)$$

$$D^{o} = (X_2 D_1^* + X_1 D_2^*) \left(1 + \left(\frac{\partial \ln \gamma_k}{\partial \ln X_k}\right)\right)$$

Objectives

- Develop a versatile simulation capable of treating diffusion for different formalisms
- Test internal consistency of simulation with model systems
- Develop systematic procedure for the assessment of Darken theories
 - Binary: Ag-Cd, Au-Ni, Cu-Zn, Cu-Ni
 - Ternary: Cu-Ni-Zn

2. Single-Phase, Intrinsic Diffusion Simulation for Multicomponent Systems

- **1.** Initial concentration distribution for each component
- 2. Depending upon the formalism, kinetic descriptors as a function of composition, temperature or other variables
- 3. Thermodynamics of phase, if needed
- 4. Molar volume as a function of composition



Finite difference method for semi-infinite couples

- Simple yet effective algorithm
- Handles variable molar volumes
- Developed in MathCad – userfriendly
- Efficient practical output for a single diffusion couple in less than a minute
- Versatile adaptable to various formalisms

Model System with Variable Molar Volume

• $D^{\circ} = D^{\vee} = \text{constant}$: Error function solution for $c_k(x,t)$

$$c_A(x) = c_A^- + (c_A^+ - c_A^-) \operatorname{cerf}\left(\frac{x}{2\sqrt{\tilde{D}t}}\right)$$

$$cerf(z) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + erf(z))$$
$$erf(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{z} \exp(-u^{2}) du$$

Ratio of intrinsic diffusion coefficients constant:



R = 5 $D^{\circ} = 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$



Simulation Output for Model System



3. A: Tests of Darken Relations in Au-Ni at 900°C



 Classical system often quoted for the success of the Darken relation between the *tracer and interdiffusion coefficient*

Darken Au-Ni (contd.)



Experimental intrinsic diffusion coefficients [Van Dal et al. [J. Alloys & Comp., 2000]

Interdiffusion coefficient

Polynomial functions were used to fit the intrinsic diffusion data

Darken Au-Ni: Assessing Experimental Data



Quality of experimental intrinsic data appears excellent

Darken Au-Ni: Tracer Diffusion



of Au and Ni

Darken Au-Ni: Thermodynamics



Redlich-Kister polynomial fits for activity data

Thermodynamic factor (Φ)

Thermodynamic factor is less than unity

Darken Relations for Au-Ni: Predicted Intrinsic Diffusion Coefficients



Problem with predicted Au intrinsic diffusion coefficient

Darken Relations for Au-Ni: Predicted Interdiffusion Coefficient



Predicted interdiffusion coefficient reasonably close to data

Assessing Darken Relations for Au-Ni with Simulation



Concentration profile

Lattice shift profile

Differences between predicted and experimental D_{Au} results in large differences in lattice shift profiles

3. B: Darken Relations in Cu-Ni-Zn at 900°C

Intrinsic fluxes in a multicomponent system (i = 1, 2, ..., c):

$$J_{i} = -L_{ii} \cdot \frac{d}{dx} \mu_{i} = -c_{i} \cdot M_{i} \cdot \frac{d}{dx} \mu_{i} = -\frac{Dt_{i}}{R \cdot T} \cdot c_{i} \cdot \frac{d}{dx} \mu_{i} = -Dt_{i} \cdot \frac{X_{i}}{V} \cdot \frac{d}{dx} \ln(a_{i})$$

$$J_{i} = \frac{-Dt_{i}}{V} \cdot X_{i} \cdot \left(\frac{\Phi_{ii}}{X_{i}} \cdot \frac{d}{dx}X_{i} + \sum_{j=1 \neq i}^{c-1} \frac{\Phi_{ij}}{X_{j}} \cdot \frac{d}{dx}X_{j}\right) \qquad \Phi_{ij} = \frac{d}{dln(X_{j})}ln(a_{i})$$

 Φ_{ii} = thermodynamic factors obtained from Gibbs free energy of phase

Cserhati, Ugaste, van Loo et al., Def. & Diff. For., 2001

Darken Relations in Cu-Ni-Zn: contd.

Intrinsic fluxes in a ternary:

$$J_{1} = \frac{-Dt_{1}}{V} \cdot X_{1} \cdot \left(\frac{\Phi_{11}}{X_{1}} \cdot \frac{d}{dx}X_{1} + \frac{\Phi_{12}}{X_{2}} \cdot \frac{d}{dx}X_{2}\right)$$

$$J_2 = \frac{-Dt_2}{V} \cdot X_2 \cdot \left(\frac{\Phi_{22}}{X_2} \cdot \frac{d}{dx}X_2 + \frac{\Phi_{21}}{X_1} \cdot \frac{d}{dx}X_1\right)$$

$$J_{3} = \frac{Dt_{3}}{V} \cdot \left[X_{1} \cdot \left(\frac{\Phi_{11}}{X_{1}} \cdot \frac{d}{dx} X_{1} + \frac{\Phi_{12}}{X_{2}} \cdot \frac{d}{dx} X_{2} \right) + X_{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\Phi_{22}}{X_{2}} \cdot \frac{d}{dx} X_{2} + \frac{\Phi_{21}}{X_{1}} \cdot \frac{d}{dx} X_{1} \right) \right]$$

Relation between thermodynamic factors

$$\Phi_{21} = \frac{X_1}{(1 - X_1)} \cdot \left(\frac{1 - X_2}{X_2} \cdot \Phi_{12} + \Phi_{22} - \Phi_{11}\right)$$

Cu-Ni-Zn: Thermo



Isoactivity curves of Zn in fcc-CuNiZn at 727°C (liq. Zn ref.)

${}^{0}L_{Cu,Ni}^{Fce_A1} = +8047.72 + 3.42217T$
${}^{1}L_{Cu,Ni}^{Fce_A1} = -2041.3 + 0.99714T$
${}^{0}T_{eCu,Ni}^{Fee_{A1}} = -935.5$
${}^{1}T_{cCu,Ni}^{Fcc_A1} = -594.9$
${}^{_{0}}\beta^{_{Fee_A1}}_{_{Cu,Ni}} = -0.7316$
${}^{1}\beta^{\text{Fee}_A1}_{\text{Cu,Ni}} = -0.3174$
${}^{0}L_{Cu,Zn}^{Fee_A1} = -42803.75 + 10.02258T$
${}^{1}L_{Cu,Zn}^{Fce_A1} = +2936.39 - 3.05323T$
$^{2}L_{Cu,Zn}^{Fcc_{A1}} = +9034.2 - 5.39314T$
${}^{0}L_{Ni,Zn}^{Fcc_A1} = -58399.17 + 10.13337T$
$^{1}L_{\text{Ni,Zn}}^{\text{Fcc}_A1} = -21974.79 + 16.38475T$
$^{2}L_{\text{Ni,Zn}}^{\text{Fcc}_A1}=+30855.63-19.71684T$
${}^{_{0}}\mathrm{T_{eNi,Zn}^{Fcc}}^{_{A1}} = -815$
${}^{_{0}}\beta^{_{Fee}A1}_{\mathrm{Ni},\bar{z}n} = -1.46$
${}^{0}I^{Fcc}Al = -8614 \pm 15T$

 ${}^{0}L_{Cu,Ni,Zn}^{Fcc_A1} = -8614 + 15T$ ${}^{1}L_{Cu,Ni,Zn}^{Fcc_A1} = +55000 - 20T$ ${}^{2}L_{Cu,Ni,Zn}^{Fcc_A1} = -55000 + 10T$

Cu-Ni-Zn: Thermodynamics contd.

Redlich-Kister model for Gibbs excess free energy:

 $\Delta G_{\alpha} \max_{xs} = X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot L_{\alpha} \sum_{12} (X_1, X_2, T) + X_1 \cdot X_3 \cdot L_{\alpha} \sum_{13} (X_1, X_3, T) + X_2 \cdot X_3 \cdot L_{\alpha} \sum_{23} (X_2, X_3, T) \dots + X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot X_3 \cdot L_{\alpha} \sum_{123} (X_1, X_2, X_3, T)$

 $L_{\alpha 12}(X_{1}, X_{2}, T) = L_{\alpha 012}(T) + L_{\alpha 112}(T) \cdot (X_{1} - X_{2})^{1} + L_{\alpha 212}(T) \cdot (X_{1} - X_{2})^{2}$

 $L_{\alpha 123}(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, T) = X_{1} \cdot L_{\alpha 0}_{123}(T) + X_{2} \cdot L_{\alpha 1}_{123}(T) + X_{3} \cdot L_{\alpha 2}_{123}(T)$

Partial molal Gibbs free energy:

$$\Delta Gxs_k = \Delta G_{mix_xs} + \frac{d}{dX_k} \Delta G_{mix_xs} - \sum_{j=1}^c X_j \cdot \frac{d}{dX_j} \Delta G_{mix_xs}$$

Cu-Ni-Zn: Tracer diffusion

$$log(Dt_{Cu}) = -3.53 \cdot X_{Ni}^{1.16} + 3.6 \cdot X_{Zn}^{1.02} - 9.46$$
$$log(Dt_{Ni}) = -4.05 \cdot X_{Ni}^{1.3} + 3.28 \cdot X_{Zn}^{1.07} - 9.96$$
$$log(Dt_{Zn}) = -3.2 \cdot X_{Ni} + 5.21 \cdot X_{Zn}^{1.25} - 9$$

 $D_{Zn}^{*} > D_{Cu}^{*} > D_{Ni}^{*}$

Similar composition dependence

Anusavice & DeHoff, Met. Trans. A., 1972





#4,5,7,9

Cu-Ni-Zn: Concentration profiles

Couple #9 (Wan 13-00)



Cu-Ni-Zn: Concentration profiles





#6,8,11

Cu-Ni-Zn: Concentration profiles

Couple #11 (Wan 02-30)





Cu-Ni-Zn: Concentration profiles

Couple #2 (Wan 33-10)



Kirkendall Shift Comparison



4. Conclusions

- In the binary Au-Ni and the ternary Cu-Ni-Zn system, Darken relations result in
 - Reasonable concentration profiles; however
 - Kirkendall shifts are noticeably underestimated
 - Manning relations in Cu-Ni-Zn to be explored
- Intrinsic simulation powerful tool for testing quality of experimental data & various diffusion formalisms with their associated assumptions
 - Need to explore intermetallic phases with broad solubility ranges where vacancy concentrations & fluxes are likely to be important

Alternate approach

- A kinetic approach based on tracer jump frequencies has also been found to have the same level of success in predicting diffusion paths in Cu-Ni-Zn using the same simulation
 - However the Kirkendall shifts are overestimated
 - But no thermodynamics necessary; tracer diffusion coefficients sufficient

5. On development of multicomponent diffusion databases

- Tracer diffusion data vital for high-quality databases
- More experimental efforts in this direction needed
 - But need not use radioactive isotopes
 - Stable isotopes (except AI) combined with mass spectrometry may be used instead (errors?)*
- Explore use of combinatorial techniques for sample preparation and automated analysis of tracer (stable) isotope profiles

Schmidt, Schmalzried et al., "Self-diffusion of B in TiB₂," J. App. Phys., 2003

John Morral's other contributions... (thermodynamics, oxidation, solidification, phase diagrams, coarsening, etc.)

- Morral & Davies, "Thermodynamics of Isolated Miscibility Gaps," J. Chimie Phys. Physico-Chimie Bio, 1997.
- Morral & Purdy, "Thermodynamics of Particle Coarsening," J. Alloys & Comp., 1995.
- Hennessey & Morral, "Oxidation & Nitridation of Niobium in Air above 1150°C," Oxid. Met., 1992.
- Morral & Gupta, "Phase-boundary, ZPF, and Topological Lines on Phase Diagrams," *Scripta Met.*, 1991.
- Gupta, Morral & Nowotny, "Constructing Multicomponent Phase Diagrams by Overlapping ZPF Lines," *Scripta Met.*, 1986.
- Pati & Morral, "The Effect of Quenching on Mushy Zone Microstructures," *Met. Trans. A*, 1986.
- Cameron & Morral, "The Solubility of Boron in Iron," *Met. Trans. A*, 1986.
- Ohriner & Morral, "Precipitate Distribution in Sub-Scales," *Scripta Met.*, 1979.
- Morral & Asby, "Dislocated Cellular Structures," Acta Met., 1974.
- Morral & Cahn, "Spinodal Decomposition in Ternary Systems," Acta Met., 1971.
- Morral, "Characterizing Stability Limits in Ternary Systems," Acta Met., 1972.

... and many more